

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,638	01/19/2005	Peter Koch	DV/4-32596A	2649
	590 09/26/2007		EXAMINER	
NOVARTIS CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
ONE HEALTH I	PLAZA 104/3 ER, NJ 07936-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. 		1723	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,638	KOCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Krishnan S. Menon	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Datei nformal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending as preliminarily amended on 1/19/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Armstrong et al., "Examination of ionic liquids and their interactions with molecules, when used as stationary phases in gas chromatography", Anal. Chem. 1999, 71, 3873-3876, (hereinafter: "Armstrong") OR alternately, under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armstrong in view of JP-4215062.

Applicant's claims are directed to a method of using ionic liquids as solvents in headspace gas chromatography. The method comprises dissolving or dispersing the molecules in the ionic liquid, then volatilizing the components into the headspace. The dependent claims recite details of the physical properties of the ionic liquids, as well as their chemical compositions.

Armstrong teaches gas chromatography using room-temperature ionic liquids as the stationary phase in the chromatography column, and describes various ionic liquids. In gas chromatography, the solutes in the mobile phase injected at the bottom of the chromatographic column would be dispersed into and then released by the ionic liquid

Art Unit: 1723

(the stationary phase), which would come out at the headspace of the chromatographic column. Thus the claims are anticipated by the Armstrong reference.

It may be argued that the headspace gas chromatography as claimed may be different from the gas chromatography as described in the reference. If so, however, the essential principles are the same. Therefore, the use of the ionic fluids in headspace chromatography would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, given the knowledge from the Armstrong reference. The JP reference teaches headspace gas chromatography using inorganic salts, and the JP reference describes the headspace gas chromatography for analyzing aromatics from liquor. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the RTILs taught by Armstrong in the headspace gas chromatography of the aromatic components of "liquor" as taught by the JP reference because of the great advantages of using the ionic liquids as taught by Armstrong (see the introductory paragraphs of Armstrong on page 3873).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,638

Art Unit: 1723

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krishnan S Menon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723